App. F. IX-4

Previous References

193 /.

File No. 310-31

Serial Nos. 142

Later References

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Serial Nos. 142

Part B for July 1932.

SUBJECT.

Completion of the Construction of the Eramadevi at the Temple at Hassaghatta, Bangalore N.

To the Mang Comr & Mys 142 Dt 11-19-thJuly 1932
Accordg sanction to the above

General and Revenue Secretariat — File No. of 193 /.

Serial No.

TYPING SECTION 11 JUL. 1932 GENL. & REV. SECTT.

OFFICE OF THE HOME MINISTER'S JUNCIL 9 JULY 1932 No. 199

Letter No 1424/31-32 dated the 9th/11th June 1932 from the Inspector Commr requesting sanction to the Completion of the construction of the Grama Devatha temple at Hasanghatta Bangalore Taluk, as there is no objection thereto from any person.

Send for orders, with a draft reply

C.S. [illegible]

11/6

For Secy)

For approval
9/
22/6/32

(For Member)

No 817
2/8/17

No 817
2/8/17

2 To The Inspr Comr No 142 D 11/19. 7.32

Sanctions the proposal of the Inspr Comr made in 1st Col

9271/26/6

NOTE—Contn Sheet.

OFFICE OF THE MUZRAI COMMISSIONER
IN MYSORE,

Bangalore, 9th/11th June *193 2*

FROM

Prec: 310-31-1

S. P. RAJAGOPALACHARI, ESQ., B.A., B.L.,
Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.



To

Rajasevaparayana
B. Srinivasa Iyengar, Esq., B.A., B.L.,

Secretary to Government of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore,
Financial *Department.*

SIR,

With reference to your O.M.No.1971/Muz.1-29-40 dated 20th March 1930 forwarding for disposal petition from Mr. Abdul Mazeed Saheb, contractor, Hessarghatta, Bangalore Taluk, complaining against the villagers in regard to the construction of a temple near his house, I have the honor to submit herewith a copy of Bangalore District Deputy Commissioner's letter No.C3-4297/29-30 dated 16th May 1930 and to state as follows:-

2. A Gramadevate is reported to exist on a pial in Hessarghatta village. When the villagers attempted to construct a Pantap to the Deity, one Mr. Abdul Mazeed Sab complained against the construction of the temple near his house. The Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District, to whom the petition was sent reported that orders were issued by the Amildar not to permit the construction of the building in question until permission was obtained for the purpose from Government.

3. The complainant Abdul Mazeed himself and the villagers are now reported to have arrived at a compromise and made a joint application for the grant of the license for the construction of the temple in question. As there is no objection for the construction of the building from any quarter, the Sub Division Officer recommends that the permission sought for may be granted.

B1-303/38-52
9/9/1931

4. In view of the fact that no new place of worship is attempted to be constructed and the present attempt of the villagers is only to ---

enclose the idols by putting up walls on all sides and with stone slabs to protect the idols from being exposed to sun and rain, the Deputy Commissioner recommends that the permission sougnt for may be granted.

5. I agree with the Deputy Commissioner and solicit the sanction of Government to the completion of the building in question.

6. The file of vernacular records is herewith enclosed for ----- reference and obliging return.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Assistant Commissioner 11/6/32

for Muzrai Commissioner.

(Absent from Head Quarters.

Letter No.C3-1-4287/38-36 dated 16-6-36 from the Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District, to the Rural Commissioner in Mysore.

With reference to your memo No.1457/38-36 dated 1st April 1936, forwarding copy of Official Memorandum No.1971/ MI.38-36 dated 30th March 1936 from the Financial Secretary to Government regarding disposal of the petition of Abdul Majeed Saheb, Contractor, Chitradurga, complaining against the villagers on the construction of a temple near his house, I have the honor to state that the Amildar, Bangalore Taluk, reports that orders have been issued to the Village Officials to the Chairman of the Village Panchayet of the village not to allow the construction of the buildings in question until permission is obtained for the purpose from the Government and that necessary arrangements have also been made to see that no breach of the peace occurs as apprehended by the petitioner.

Under these circumstances, I think that the matter may be dropped for the present.

The file of correspondence ending with Bangalore Sub Division Officer's C.T1-1003/38-36 dated 2nd Nov 1936 is enclosed herewith for your reference.

"COPY"

Deputy Commissioner.

Letter No.C3-4297/29-30 dated 8th May 1932 from the Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District to the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

With reference to your letter No.D2.Dis.875/29-30 dated 16th June 1930, returning the records relating to the complaint of Abdul Majeed -- Saheb, Contractor, residing at Hesaraghatta, Bangalore Taluk against the villagers in the matter of the construction of a temple near his house, I have the honor to state that the villagers of Hesaraghatta including -- Abdul Majeed Saheb (the complainant) have once again put in an application to the Sub Division Officer, to grant them a license to complete the -- construction of the temple in question, as they have compromised themselves and that there is no objection for the completion of the building from any quarter.

The Sub Division Officer in submitting the papers to this office recommends that as the parties have since compromised themselves and have put in a joint application for the grant of the license, the permission sought for might be granted.

It is also borne out from the records that the stone idols are in existence there for more than 30 or 40 years and the present attempt of the villagers is only to enclose the idols, by putting up walls on all sides and also to cover them with stone slabs, just to prevent them from being exposed to sun and rains.

Under these circumstances, ther is no objection for the license being renewed, for the completion of the building in question. The file of vernacular records is also enclosed for your reference.

"C o p y"

For Muzrai Commissioner.

mh

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness

the Maharaja of Mysore.

R e a d :-

Letter No.1424/31-32 dated the 9th/11th June 1932 from the Muzrai Commissioner requesting sanction to the completion of the building for the Grama Devatai under construction at Hesaraghatta, Bangalore Taluk, as there is no objection thereto from any quarter.

O r d e r No. 142/Muzrai: 310-31-2.

Bangalore, dated the 11th July 1932.

S a n c t i o n e d .

For Financial Secretary to Government.

To

The Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore

returning records.

BNL.2.

Diary No. of letter replied to 1424

Section Mysore

File No. 70-31

Serial No. 2

General and Revenue Secretariat

(Mysore)

the 193 .

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

Date of issue 11.7. 1932

Signed by Rao B. Nanjunda Rao

Read— Circular No 1124/31 dated the 17th/18th June 193[illegible] from the Mysore Government regarding the Constitution of the Executive Committee for the Karnatak Districts under Constitution Order thereon. No. Act of Karnataka Khadi, Village Industries Association, requesting that the Mysore Government may nominate [illegible] Circular No 162/Mysore

May this be—

(i) printed ?

(ii) treated as a standing order ?

(iii) published in the Gazette, English Part ?

(iv) translated ?

(v) placed at the disposal of the press ?

(vi) published in the Gazette, Kannada Part ?

He may send Copy of Circular to Secretary, Executive Committee

[illegible]

16/6/32

22/6/32